

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

P	PATENT COOPERAT	ION TREA	PCT/JP2003/00
anslation internation	PCT	I	10000 1
MISINTERNATI	ONAL PRELIMINARY	EXAMINA	TION REPORT
•	(PCT Article 36 and	i Rule 70)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference H1814-01	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notifica Preliminary E	ation of Transmittal of International Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
International application No. PCT/JP2003/007174	International filing date (day/o 06 June 2003 (06.06		Priority date (day/month/year) 07 June 2002 (07.06.2002)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or G06F 17/60, 17/30	national classification and IPC		
Applicant	ARKRAY, IN	C.	
and is transmitted to the applicant 2. This REPORT consists of a total of the applicant of the applicant of the applicant of the applicant of a total of the applicant	according to Article 36. If sheets, includenced by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets for this report and/or sheets contact the Administrative Instructions up total of sheets. Elating to the following items:	ling this cover s of the description taining rectificated ander the PCT).	ational Preliminary Examining Authority sheet. on, claims and/or drawings which have been ations made before this Authority (see Rul
III Non-establishmen IV Lack of unity of i V Reasoned statem citations and exp VI Certain documen VII Certain defects in	ent under Article 35(2) with regularitions supporting such statem	ard to novelty, i nent	tep and industrial applicability nventive step or industrial applicability;
Date of submission of the demand 08 October 2003 (08		te of completion	of this report February 2004 (02.02.2004)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/	JP Au	thorized officer	
Facsimile No.	Te	lephone No.	

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/JP2003/007174

	of the report	
1. With re	regard to the elements of the international application:*	
\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed	
	the description:	
	pages	, as originally filed
	pages	, filed with the demand
	pages, filed with the letter of	
	the claims:	
ш	pages	, as originally filed
	nages , as amended (together wi	th any statement under Article 19
	pages	, med with the demand
	pages, filed with the letter of	
	the drawings:	
	pages	, as originally filed
		, filed with the demand
	pages, filed with the letter of	
l⊓t	the sequence listing part of the description:	
	pages	, as originally filed
	pages	, filed with the demand
	pages, filed with the letter of	
	n regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this anternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. see elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule	which is:
	the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).	
	the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary error 55.3).	xamination (under Rule 55.2 and/
3. With preli	h regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the internation iminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:	nal application, the international
	contained in the international application in written form.	
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.	
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.	
	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not a international application as filed has been furnished.	
	The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to been furnished.	o the written sequence listing has
4.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
1	the description, pages	
1	the claims, Nos.	
	the drawings, sheets/fig	
5.	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, sind beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**	ce they have been considered to go
in t	placement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitati this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not [70.17].	on under Article 14 are referred to contain amendments (Rule 70.16
** Any	ϕ replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annex	ed to this report.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/JP 03/07174

V.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-12	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	1-12	NO NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-12	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

Document 1: JP 2001-282944 A (Fujitsu General Limited), 12
October 2001, entire text; all drawings

Document 2: JP 10-124477 A (Hitachi, Ltd.), 15 May 1998, entire text; all drawings

Document 3: JP 2002-154085 A (Toyota Motor Corporation),
28 May 2002, paragraph [0034]

Claims 1 to 4, 11 and 12

Document 1

Document 1 sets forth a system wherein when the model name and symptoms are specified on a screen as shown in fig. 3, the presumed fault (cause) and location of/response to presumed fault (basis for diagnosis and measures to be taken) are displayed as shown in fig. 4. Paragraph [0038] indicates that if there are a plurality of responses, three examples from the highest ranking are displayed, for example, and paragraph [0031] indicates that the display position of symptoms and check items is arranged in order of priority according to frequency of occurrence of malfunctions.

It would therefore be easy for a person skilled in the art to conceive of sorting according to priority such as frequency of occurrence if there were a plurality of possible presumed causes.

International application No.
PCT/JP 03/07174

The interval at which goodness of fit is updated is merely a feature which could be determined as necessary by a person skilled in the art.

Claims 5 to 9

Documents 1 and 2

Document 2 sets forth a system wherein with regard to conditions concerning fault investigations, the attribute which is being investigated with priority (cost, time, fault ratio, etc.) is specified and display is arranged accordingly (fig. 7).

Claim 10

Documents 1 to 3

Document 3 (paragraph [0034]) indicates that a series of procedures may also be displayed using moving images.